



Age by Category



**40**  
Age (Mean)

20-30	16,3%
30-40	34,6%
40-50	24,3%
50-60	17,4%
60-70	6,9%
70-80	0,6%


My professional activity is:



**91,4%**  
Health Care Providers

Physician	82,8%
Specialized nurse/technician	8,6%
Medical student	7,2%
Medical industry	1,3%


How do you rate the content of this year's EVC in general?



**93%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	0,6%
1	1,1%
2	5,9%
3	44,7%
4	48,0%
5 excellent/yes	


Did the event fulfil your educational goals and expected learning outcomes?



**88,3%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	0,8%
1	2,2%
2	8,7%
3	40,2%
4	48,0%
5 excellent/yes	


Was the presented information well balanced and consistently supported by a valid scientific evidence base?



**91,3%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	0,6%
1	0,6%
2	7,6%
3	38,1%
4	53,2%
5 excellent/yes	


Was there adequate time available for discussions, questions & answers and learner engagement?



**89,8%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	0,3%
1	0,8%
2	9,1%
3	43,6%
4	46,2%
5 excellent/yes	


The EVC educational activities increased my theoretical knowledge in vascular surgery/medicine



**87,6%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	1,2%
1	1,5%
2	9,7%
3	38,1%
4	49,6%
5 excellent/yes	


The EVC educational activities increased my knowledge and skills in vascular surgery/medicine



**82,3%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	0,9%
1	2,4%
2	14,3%
3	32,9%
4	49,4%
5 excellent/yes	


The arterial master classes and workshops were important for training



**90,4%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	0,4%
1	0,8%
2	8,4%
3	22,2%
4	68,2%
5 excellent/yes	


The venous master classes and workshops were important for training



**88,3%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	1,1%
1	0,6%
2	10,0%
3	33,9%
4	54,4%
5 excellent/yes	


The vascular access master classes and workshops were important for training



**90,4%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	1,1%
1	2,3%
2	6,2%
3	35,6%
4	54,8%
5 excellent/yes	


The cardiovascular master classes and workshops were important for training



**88,9%**  
% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no I	2,2%
1	0,7%
2	8,1%
3	28,1%
4	60,7%
5 excellent/yes	

The case discussions have significant educational value




**90,4%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	0,0%
2	1,3%
3	8,3%
4	39,1%
5 excellent/yes	51,3%

The quality of the plenary lecture was?




**89,4%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	0,6%
2	0,9%
3	9,0%
4	42,9%
5 excellent/yes	46,6%

The quality of the speakers was?




**92,8%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	0,3%
2	0,9%
3	6,0%
4	41,5%
5 excellent/yes	51,3%

The EVC meeting was well organized?




**94,7%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	0,6%
2	0,6%
3	4,2%
4	29,9%
5 excellent/yes	64,8%

The location (venue) of EVC was appropriate for the congress




**95,0%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	0,3%
2	0,3%
3	4,5%
4	24,5%
5 excellent/yes	70,5%

The quality of the catering was




**66,4%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	2,5%
2	8,1%
3	23,0%
4	38,1%
5 excellent/yes	28,3%

I recommend EVC as an important training and education meeting




**91,4%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	0,6%
2	1,4%
3	6,7%
4	26,7%
5 excellent/yes	64,7%

The set-up of the congress App:




**94,4%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	0,0%
2	0,0%
3	5,6%
4	24,1%
5 excellent/yes	70,4%

Was the app user-friendly?



**83,1%**

% Good / Excellent ( score 4/5 or 5/5 )

poor/no	0,9%
2	4,1%
3	11,9%
4	38,6%
5 excellent/yes	44,5%